

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/693,251	VICISANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian D. Nguyen	2661	

All Participants:

(1) Brian D. Nguyen.

(2) Sidney Johnston.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

17, 24, 25, 33, 34, 44, 47, 48, 61, 62, 75, 76, 77, and 78

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 25, 34, 44, 48, 62, 76, and 78 are cancelled and claims 17, 24, 33, 47, 61, 75, and 77 are amended as set forth in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)